



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/789,135	02/27/2004	Daryl B. Olander	ORACL-01401US0	9244
80548	7590	07/09/2010	EXAMINER	
FLIESLER MEYER LLP			HEFFINGTON, JOHN M	
650 CALIFORNIA STREET				
14TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108			2179	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/09/2010	ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

OFFICEACTIONS@FDML.COM

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/789,135	OLANDER ET AL.	

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
JOHN HEFFINGTON	2179	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** After Non-Final

(1) JOHN HEFFINGTON

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Ted Liu

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 21 June 2010

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*claim 1*

Claims discussed:

*claim 1*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Burd, Hind*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*With respect to independent claims 1 and 27, the examiner suggested adding the language "pre-render" before the limitation, "processing the one or more controls in the render queue ..." The examiner further suggested adding the language "including the pre-rendered controls" after the limitation "advancing the control tree". The examiner also suggested including changing the preamble to read "A method for rendering a graphical user interface, comprising:" and moving the rest of the preamble, "accepting a request in order to generate a response" into the body of the claim. The examiner stated that the proposed amendments may overcome the prior art of record.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/SARA ENGLAND/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2179

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)